•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	09/700,401	MAIER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Padmashri Ponnaluri	1639
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Padmashri Ponnaluri</u> .	(3)	
(2) Applicant's attorney Michael Asam.	(4)	·
Date of Interview: <u>07 January 2004</u> .		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: withdrawn claims 5, 10-12.		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
specification, and the priority document data in the specification page 1. Applicant's attorney has authorized the examiner to correct the eroity application data in the specification page 1, and cancel the non-elected claims.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See		
Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
		•
•		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	nature, if required